ENGINTECH
Journal of Engineering and Technological Sciences

Vol. 1. No. 1. Halaman 37-50. Tahun 2025
https://naluriedukasi.com/index.php/engintech
Email: engintechejets@gmail.com

Received January 26, 2024 || Accepted March 18, 2025 || Published April 30, 2025

SOCIAL ENGINEERING ON SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS: AN INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDY OF TECHNICAL DESIGN AND THE SHAPING OF ONLINE COLLECTIVE BEHAVIOR

Romi Mesra
Universitas Negeri Manado
Email: romimesra@unima.ac.id

Abstract

This study aims to analyze the phenomenon of social engineering in social media platforms and its impact on the formation of online collective behavior through an interdisciplinary approach that combines the perspectives of digital sociology with engineering science. Social engineering in the digital context refers to the use of sociological, psychological, and communicative strategies integrated into the technical design of platforms to influence social structures, norms, and behavior on a massive scale. The research method uses a qualitative approach with a systematic literature review of 127 articles and academic books relevant to the topic of digital social engineering, analyzed using a thematic analysis approach to identify meaningful patterns and themes. The results reveal three main findings: first, social media platform algorithms operate as social agents that shape collective behavior through systematic mechanisms of algorithmic curation, viral amplification, and behavioral modification; second, platform architecture creates behavioral architecture through design features such as notification systems, gamification elements, and network structures that influence patterns of social interaction; third, digital social engineering has transformed the nature of collective behavior, creating new forms of social mobilization, digital inequality, and challenges to democratic discourse. This research provides theoretical contributions through the development of an interdisciplinary framework and a new typology of forms and mechanisms of digital social engineering, as well as practical implications for the development of more ethical platforms and appropriate policy frameworks for digital governance.

Keywords: Social Engineering, Social Media Platforms, Interdisciplinary Study, Technical Design, Collective Behavior

Introduction

Social media platforms have undergone a fundamental transformation from mere digital communication tools to complex social infrastructures that shape the collective behavior of contemporary society. In the context of digital sociology, this phenomenon marks a new era in which technology not only facilitates social interaction but also actively manipulates behavioral patterns through sophisticated algorithmic design and platform architecture (Lupton, 2015). In this context, social engineering refers to the use of sociological, psychological, and communicative strategies integrated into the technical design of platforms to influence social structures, norms, and behavior on a massive scale (Castells, 2015).

The development of digital technology has created a new paradigm in social interaction that combines technological and sociological dimensions, where public conversations enable the involvement of external parties in ways never before possible (Boyd, 2014). Social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok have become socio-technical spaces that not only provide communication infrastructure but also systematically shape user behavior through feedback loops, algorithmic curation, and social engagement metrics (Van Dijck, 2013). The technical design of these platforms encompasses various elements, from user interfaces and recommendation algorithms to notification systems and gamification mechanisms, which collectively create digital environments that can influence user cognition, emotion, and decision-making (Zuboff, 2019).

From a sociology of technology perspective, social media platforms can be understood as technological artifacts that are not neutral but rather contain specific values, assumptions, and interests embedded in their technical design (Winner, 1980; Bijker, 1995). The algorithms used in social media platforms function as "social agents" capable of influencing digital stratification and creating disparities in access to information and social opportunities (Noble, 2018). This phenomenon demonstrates that social engineering on social media platforms occurs not only at the individual level but also at the structural level, which can influence the distribution of power, access to information, and the formation of public opinion in digital societies (Tufekci, 2017).

Online collective behavior formed through social media platforms exhibits distinct characteristics from conventional collective behavior. While traditional collective behavior tends to be limited by geographic and temporal factors, online collective behavior can occur simultaneously across multiple geographic locations and persist over longer periods of time through digital traces and algorithmic amplification (Earl & Kimport, 2011). Social media platforms facilitate the formation of "echo chambers" and "filter bubbles" that can amplify social polarization and create fragmentation in the digital public sphere (Pariser, 2011). The mechanisms of viral spreading and network effects within social media platforms also enable cascading behaviors that can influence the adoption of ideas, trends, and social movements on a massive scale and at unprecedented speed (Watts, 2002).

The technical aspects of social engineering on social media platforms involve the use of big data analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence to analyze user behavior patterns and optimize engagement metrics (O'Neil, 2016). The recommendation system algorithms used by social media platforms are designed to maximize user engagement and time spent on the platform, often resulting in controversial or emotionally arousing content, as they have proven more effective in attracting user attention (Vaidhyanathan, 2018). This technical design creates feedback loops in which user behavior analyzed through data mining is then used to generate content and recommendations that can influence subsequent behavior, creating a cycle that can shape user preferences, opinions, and collective behavior (Gillespie, 2014).

The social impact of this social engineering can be seen in various phenomena such as viral challenges, hashtag movements, online mobilization, and the formation of virtual communities that can influence politics, economics, and culture in offline societies (Bennett & Segerberg, 2012). Social media platforms have become powerful instruments in shaping public opinion, social mobilization, and even democratic processes through their ability to influence information flow and public discourse (Howard & Hussain, 2013). However, this phenomenon has also given rise to various problems such as misinformation, manipulation of public opinion, privacy concerns, and digital manipulation that reveals the dark side of digital social engineering (Cadwalladr & Graham-Harrison, 2018).

The complexity of social engineering on social media platforms demands an interdisciplinary approach that combines sociological understanding of collective behavior with technical knowledge of digital systems, algorithms, and human-computer interaction. A comprehensive understanding of this phenomenon is crucial not only for academic

purposes but also for developing appropriate public policies, ethical guidelines for technology development, and digital literacy that can help society navigate the complexities of digital social spaces more critically and reflectively (Ritzer & Jurgenson, 2010). Interdisciplinary studies of social engineering on social media platforms are becoming increasingly urgent given the deepening penetration of digital technology into various aspects of social life and its potential impact on the future of digital society.

Previous research on social engineering on social media platforms has explored various dimensions of this phenomenon with diverse focuses. A study conducted by Tufekci (2017) in his book "Twitter and Tear Gas: The Power and Fragility of Networked Protest" analyzes how social media platforms facilitate social mobilization and protest movements through networked publics. This research shows that the technical architecture of social media platforms allows for rapid coordination and the viral spread of information, which can generate mass mobilization in a very short time. Tufekci identified that social media platform algorithms can create "algorithmic amplification" that can amplify or dampen certain messages, thereby affecting the visibility and reach of social movements. This study also revealed that although social media platforms can facilitate rapid mobilization, movements formed through social media tend to suffer from high organizational fragility due to a lack of solid organizational structures and sustainable leadership.

Another significant study by Zuboff (2019) in "The Age of Surveillance Capitalism" analyzes how large technology platforms use "behavioral surplus" collected from user activity to develop "prediction products" that can influence users' future behavior. Zuboff demonstrated that the business model of social media platforms is based on extracting user behavioral data, which is then used to develop algorithms that can predict and influence consumer behavior. This study revealed that social engineering on social media platforms is not only behavioral nudging, but also a form of systematic and organized behavioral modification. Zuboff's research also identified the existence of "instrumentarian power" that allows technology platforms to influence human behavior on a massive scale without users' knowledge or informed consent.

Noble's (2018) study, "Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism," analyzes how algorithms in digital platforms can reproduce and reinforce existing social biases in society. Noble demonstrated that the algorithms used in search engines and social media platforms are not neutral but contain biases that can influence the representation and visibility of certain groups in society. This research revealed that algorithm design can create "algorithmic discrimination" that can affect access to information, economic opportunities, and social representation. Noble also identified that social engineering through algorithms can create feedback loops that reinforce existing stereotypes and prejudices in society, thereby exacerbating existing social inequalities.

While previous research has made significant contributions to understanding various aspects of social engineering on social media platforms, several gaps remain unaddressed. First, most existing research tends to focus on a single dimension of social engineering, such as political mobilization, commercial manipulation, or algorithmic bias, without integrating these dimensions within a holistic analytical framework. Previous research also tends to be predominantly sociological or technological, without systematically integrating both perspectives within a comprehensive interdisciplinary framework. This gap results in a fragmented understanding of the complexity of social engineering on social media platforms and a lack of a theoretical framework that canThis paper explains the dynamic interaction between platform technical design and the formation of online collective behavior.

Second, existing research has not paid sufficient attention to the specific mechanisms by which the technical design of social media platforms (such as user interface design, recommendation algorithms, notification systems, and gamification elements) interacts with psychological and sociological factors to produce certain collective behaviors. Most previous studies analyze the outcomes of social engineering without delving into the processes and mechanisms underlying the formation of online

collective behavior. This gap is important to fill because understanding these mechanisms can provide valuable insights for developing more ethical social media platforms that can facilitate positive collective behaviors, as well as for developing strategies to mitigate the negative impacts of digital social engineering.

This research offers novelty in several aspects that distinguish it from previous studies. First, it develops an interdisciplinary framework that integrates digital sociology perspectives with engineering approaches to comprehensively analyze social engineering on social media platforms. This framework not only analyzes the social outcomes of social engineering but also explores the technical mechanisms underlying the formation of online collective behavior. This interdisciplinary approach allows for a more nuanced analysis of how platform technical design interacts with social dynamics to produce specific collective behaviors. This framework also integrates concepts from science and technology studies (STS), human-computer interaction (HCI), and digital sociology to develop a more holistic understanding of socio-technical systems within social media platforms.

Second, this research develops a new typology of various forms and mechanisms of social engineering within social media platforms based on a systematic analysis of technical design elements and social behavioral outcomes. This typology categorizes social engineering within social media platforms based on several dimensions, such as intentionality (deliberate vs. emergent), scale (individual vs. collective), temporality (short-term vs. long-term), and directionality (top-down vs. bottom-up). The development of this typology provides a significant theoretical contribution because it can be used as an analytical tool to analyze various cases of social engineering within different social media platforms. This typology can also be useful for practitioners developing more ethical social media platforms and for policymakers developing appropriate regulatory frameworks for the governance of digital platforms.

The empirical reality of social engineering on social media platforms can be seen in various contemporary phenomena that demonstrate the complexity and power of socio-technical systems in shaping collective behavior online. Viral challenges like the Ice Bucket Challenge, the Mannequin Challenge, and various TikTok challenges demonstrate how platform algorithms can facilitate the rapid spread of certain behaviors through social contagion mechanisms. In these cases, the platform's technical design, such as TikTok's "For You Page" algorithm or Facebook's News Feed algorithm, plays a role in amplifying certain content based on engagement metrics, which can then influence the adoption rates of viral behaviors. This reality demonstrates that social engineering on social media platforms is not always manipulative or negative but can also facilitate positive collective actions such as social awareness campaigns or charitable fundraising.

However, a more concerning reality can be seen in phenomena like echo chambers, filter bubbles, and the growing political polarization on social media platforms. The personalization algorithms used by social media platforms to increase user engagement have created information silos where users are more likely to be exposed to information that confirms their existing beliefs and opinions. This reality can be seen in various cases, such as the spread of misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic, political polarization during election cycles, or radicalization processes facilitated by recommendation algorithms. These phenomena demonstrate that social engineering on social media platforms can have significant unintended consequences for social cohesion, democratic processes, and public health, thus necessitating a critical examination of the ethical implications of technical design choices on social media platforms.

Method

This research uses a qualitative approach with a systematic literature review method to analyze the phenomenon of social engineering on social media platforms and the formation of collective online behavior. A qualitative approach was chosen because of its iterative and in-depth nature in understanding complex social phenomena.

Aspers & Corte (2019) explain that qualitative research is an iterative process to achieve a better understanding of the phenomena being studied through a closer approach to the research object.

Qualitative methods allow researchers to explore the nuances and complexities of the interaction between the technical design of social media platforms and the social dynamics occurring in digital spaces (Creswell & Poth, 2018). This approach also facilitates in-depth analysis of various dimensions of social engineering that cannot be measured quantitatively, such as psychological mechanisms, social processes, and the cultural impact of digital technology.

A systematic literature review was chosen as the primary research strategy given the complexity and interdisciplinary nature of the research topic, which combines perspectives from digital sociology, computer science, and communication technology. According to Webster & Watson (2002), an effective literature review creates a strong foundation for the advancement of knowledge by facilitating theory development, uncovering areas requiring further research, and providing adequate background for understanding the research topic. A systematic literature review allows researchers to identify, evaluate, and synthesize the entire body of knowledge relevant to the topic of social engineering on social media platforms from various disciplines (Tranfield et al., 2003). This approach also facilitates the identification of patterns, trends, and gaps in existing research that can provide new insights into the phenomenon being studied.

The data collection process was conducted through a systematic literature search in various academic databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, Web of Science, and Scopus using a combination of relevant keywords such as "social engineering," "social media platforms," "collective behavior," "digital sociology," "algorithmic governance," and "socio-technical systems." Inclusion criteria for the analyzed literature included publications between 2010 and 2024 to ensure relevance to recent developments in social media technology, publications in peer-reviewed journals or credible academic books, and direct relevance to the topic of social engineering in a digital context (Kitchenham & Charters, 2007). The literature screening and selection process was conducted systematically using a predetermined protocol to ensure the quality and relevance of the sources analyzed. A total of 127 academic articles and books were selected as primary sources for analysis based on established inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Data analysis was conducted using a thematic analysis approach, which allows for the identification, analysis, and reporting of patterns (themes) in qualitative data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). The analysis process involved several systematic stages, starting with familiarization with the data, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing and refining themes, and naming and defining final themes. This approach enabled researchers to identify meaningful patterns in the reviewed literature and organize findings within a coherent and comprehensive framework. The coding process was conducted inductively to allow for the emergence of themes emerging from the data, while also utilizing existing theoretical frameworks as sensitizing concepts to guide the analysis (Charmaz, 2014). The validity and reliability of the analysis were maintained through triangulation of data sources, a peer review process, and reflexivity in the data interpretation process.

The analytical framework used integrates perspectives from Science and Technology Studies (STS), Digital Sociology, and Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) to develop a holistic understanding of social engineering in social media platforms. This interdisciplinary approach allows for a nuanced analysis of how the technical affordances of social media platforms interact with social dynamics to produce specific collective behaviors (Leonardi, 2011). This framework also facilitates the analysis of agency and power relations within socio-technical systems, as well as their impact on social structures and democratic processes in digital societies. The analysis process was carried out iteratively with a constant comparison method to ensure consistency and coherence in data interpretation and to identify theoretical saturation in the research findings.

Result and Discussion

Result

Algorithmic Mechanisms in Shaping Collective Behavior

Literature analysis reveals that social media platform algorithms operate as "social agents" with significant capabilities in shaping collective behavior online through various sophisticated mechanisms. Research conducted by Metzler & Garcia (2024) shows that social media algorithms largely reinforce existing social drivers, but in ways that can amplify their effects on a massive scale. Algorithmic curation mechanisms are a key instrument in this process, where algorithms systematically select, organize, and present content to users based on predicted engagement and behavioral patterns learned from historical user data. Recommendation systems used by platforms like Facebook's News Feed algorithm or TikTok's For You Page algorithm not only personalize content but also actively shape user preferences and behaviors through specific and repeated exposure patterns.

Viral amplification mechanisms in social media algorithms exhibit unique characteristics in facilitating the rapid spread of certain behaviors and ideas. According to the findings of Vosoughi et al. (2018), as cited in Kriger's (2024) analysis, social media algorithms tend to prioritize sensational and emotionally charged content because it has been proven more effective in increasing user engagement and interaction rates. This phenomenon creates a feedback loop where controversial or emotionally provocative content is prioritized in algorithmic distribution, which can then influence the collective mood and behavioral tendencies within online communities. This algorithmic amplification also facilitates phenomena like "going viral," where specific behaviors, challenges, or ideas can spread at exponential rates in complex and unpredictable network effects.

Research shows that social media algorithms also operate through an "algorithmic enactment" mechanism, where technical design choices within the algorithm implicitly contain assumptions about human behavior and social interaction, which are then enforced through platform architecture. Gillespie (2014) identified that algorithms are not merely technical tools, but rather "calculated publics" formed based on assumptions about what content is relevant, valuable, or appropriate for specific audiences. This mechanism creates "algorithmic authority," where platforms have the power to determine the visibility and reach of different voices and perspectives in public discourse. This process also involves subtle yet systematic "behavioral modification," where algorithms gradually alter user preferences and behaviors through repeated exposure to certain types of content and interaction patterns.

Another important finding is that algorithmic mechanisms within social media platforms also facilitate "collective intelligence" and "swarm behaviors" through the aggregation and amplification of individual actions on a massive scale. Platforms like Twitter enable "algorithmic activism," where hashtags and trending topics can mobilize collective action and social movements with high effectiveness (Milan, 2015). However, these same mechanisms can also be used for manipulation and disinformation campaigns that can influence public opinion and democratic processes. Algorithmic recommendation systems also create "popularity bias," where already popular content receives greater amplification, creating winner-takes-all dynamics that can influence the distribution of attention and influence in online spaces.

Platform Architecture and Social Interaction Design

The technical architecture of social media platforms plays a fundamental role in shaping patterns of social interaction and collective behavior through deliberate and strategic design choices. Literature analysis shows that elements such as user interface design, notification

systems, engagement metrics, and social features collectively create a "behavioral architecture" that can influence how users interact with each other and with content on the platform (Norman, 2013). Design affordances in social media platforms are not neutral, but contain embedded values and assumptions about desirable forms of social interaction and engagement. Features such as "like" buttons, "share" mechanisms, comment systems, and follower counts create a quantified social environment where social interactions can be measured and optimized based on specific engagement metrics.

The design of notification systems in social media platforms demonstrates sophisticated psychological mechanisms designed to maximize user attention and engagement Research shows that notification design utilizes principles from behavioral psychology, such as variable ratio reinforcement schedules, which can create addictive behaviors and compulsive usage patterns (Alter, 2017). Push notifications, red badges, and alert systems are designed to create "fear of missing out" (FOMO), which can influence user behaviors and decision-making processes. The timing and frequency of notifications are also optimized using machine learning algorithms to achieve maximum impact in maintaining user engagement and platform loyalty. This design creates an "attention economy" where platforms compete for user attention as a scarce and valuable resource.

Gamification elements in social media platforms create motivational systems that can influence collective behaviors through competition, achievement, and social recognition mechanisms. Features like streaks, badges, leaderboards, and point systems use game design principles to encourage specific types of behaviors and interactions (McGonigal, 2011). Platforms like Instagram Stories with streak counters, LinkedIn with profile completion percentages, or Snapchat with friend streaks create behavioral incentives that can change how users engage with the platform and with each other. Gamification also facilitates "social proof" mechanisms where users use others' behaviors as guides for their own actions, creating bandwagon effects and conformity pressures in online environments.

The network architecture and social graph design of social media platforms also significantly influence information flow and influence patterns. Design choices about how connections are formed, maintained, and displayed can affect social dynamics and power structures in online communities (Barabási, 2016). Platforms with different network models—such as Facebook's mutual friendship model, Twitter's asymmetric following model, or LinkedIn's professional network model—create different types of social interactions and influence patterns. Algorithm-driven recommendations for new connections, groups, or content also influence network formation and can create homophily effects or filter bubbles that influence the diversity of perspectives and information that users encounter. This architecture also facilitates "network effects" where the value of the platform increases with the number of users, creating monopolistic tendencies and barriers to entry for alternative platforms.

Social Impact and Transformation of Collective Behavior

Social engineering on social media platforms has created a fundamental transformation in the nature of collective behavior and social mobilization in contemporary society. Literature analysis shows that social media platforms have facilitated the emergence of new forms of collective action characterized by characteristics such as rapid mobilization, networked organization, and the viral spread of ideas and behaviors (Castells, 2015).

Phenomena such as the #MeToo movement, the Arab Spring, climate activism, and various social justice movements demonstrate that social media platforms can facilitate collective action that can influence political and social change on a significant scale. However, this transformation also poses new challenges in terms of sustainability, coordination, and leadership in social movements mobilized through digital platforms.

Social media platforms have also created new forms of social stratification and inequality known as "digital divides" and "algorithmic inequality." Noble (2018) identified that algorithms on digital platforms can reproduce and amplify existing social biases and discrimination, creating "algorithms of oppression" that can affect access to information, opportunities, and social resources. Phenomena such as algorithmic bias in content moderation, search results, and recommendation systems can affect the visibility and representation of different social groups in digital spaces. Digital redlining and algorithmic discrimination can also affect access to services, job opportunities, and social connections, creating new forms of systemic inequality mediated by technical systems.

Transformations in the formation of public opinion and democratic discourse are among the most significant impacts of social engineering on social media platforms. Research shows that social media platforms have changed how information circulates in society and how public opinion is formed and influenced (Tufekci, 2017). Phenomena such as echo chambers, filter bubbles, and polarization have become major concerns due to their potential impacts on democratic processes and social cohesion. Algorithmic curation of information can create information silos where Individuals are exposed to information that confirms their existing beliefs, potentially reducing exposure to diverse perspectives and hampering informed democratic participation. Misinformation and disinformation campaigns can also be amplified through algorithmic systems, creating threats to information integrity and public trust.

Social engineering on social media platforms has also influenced the transformation of identity formation and self-presentation in the digital age. Social media platforms create new contexts for identity performance and social comparison, which can impact mental health, self-esteem, and social relationships (Turkle, 2011). Quantified social metrics such as likes, followers, and engagement rates create new forms of social validation and status competition that can influence behaviors and well-being. Phenomena such as "influencer culture," "cancel culture," and "virtue signaling" demonstrate that social media platforms have created new social norms and expectations that can influence how individuals navigate social relationships and public participation. Platform design also facilitates "performative authenticity," where individuals craft curated versions of themselves for public consumption, potentially impacting genuine social connections and authentic self-expression.

Discussion

Algorithmic Mechanisms in the Context of the Theory of Social Construction of Technology

Research findings on algorithmic mechanisms in shaping collective behavior can be understood through the Social Construction of Technology (SCOT) framework developed by Bijker & Pinch (1987), where technology does not have inherent meaning or function but is socially constructed through interpretation and use by relevant social groups. In the context of social media platforms, algorithms as

technological artifacts are not neutral but possess "interpretive flexibility" that allows for different meanings and functions depending on the social context of their use. Winner (1980), in his concept of "technological somnambulism," also explained that society is often unaware that technological artifacts contain political qualities that can influence power relations and social structures. Social media algorithms, through their mechanisms of curation and amplification, implicitly engage in "technological politics" by determining the visibility and reach of different voices in public discourse.

The concept of "technological mediation," developed by Verbeek (2011), provides a theoretical framework for understanding how social media algorithms act as mediators that actively shape human actions and perceptions. Algorithms not only facilitate social interaction but also actively configure how users understand and interact with their social world. The mechanisms of viral amplification and algorithmic enactment can be understood as forms of "technological agency," where technology has the ability to influence human behavior through material configurations and scripts embedded in technical design. Latour (2005), in his Actor-Network Theory, also explains that non-human actors, such as algorithms, can have agency in social networks and influence the formation of social associations and collective behaviors.

The theory of "surveillance capitalism," developed by Zuboff (2019), provides a critical perspective on how algorithmic mechanisms in social media platforms operate within the context of specific economic logics. Algorithms function not only as technical tools but also as "extraction architectures" that transform human experience into behavioral data that can be used to predict and modify future behaviors. The concept of "instrumentarian power" described by Zuboff suggests that social media algorithms operate through systematic "behavioral modification" and can influence human autonomy and democratic participation. The mechanisms of algorithmic amplification and viral spreading can be understood as manifestations of surveillance capitalism, which uses "behavioral futures markets" to monetize human behavior and social interaction.

Platform Architecture from a Socio-Technical Systems Theory Perspective

Social media platform architecture can be analyzed through the "socio-technical systems" framework developed by Trist & Bamforth (1951) and later expanded by Mumford (2006), in which technology and social systems interact and co-evolve in complex ways. Design choices in platform architecture cannot be separated from the underlying social contexts and organizational objectives. Notification systems, gamification elements, and network architecture reflect specific assumptions about human behavior and social interaction embedded in the technical design. Orlikowski (2000), in his concept of "technology-in-practice," explains that technology and social practices mutually constitute each other, where users not only use technology but also actively construct its meaning and functionality through daily practices and interactions.

The theory of "affordances," developed by Gibson (1979) and later adapted for the digital context by Norman (2013), provides a framework for understanding how design features within social media platforms

facilitate or constrain specific types of actions and behaviors. Affordances within social media platforms are relational and emergent, depending on the capabilities of users and the constraints of technical systems. Features such as "like" buttons, sharing mechanisms, and follower counts create "social affordances" that facilitate specific forms of social interaction and self-presentation. Bucher & Helmond (2018) developed the concept of "programmed sociality" to explain how platform architecture systematically shapes social interactions through specific technical protocols and algorithmic processes.

The concept of the "digital panopticon," based on Foucault's (1977) work on surveillance and disciplinary power, provides a critical perspective on how social media platform architecture creates new forms of social control and subjectification. Social media platforms create "visibility regimes" where users are constantly monitored through data collection and algorithmic analysis, creating effects similar to panoptic surveillance, but with more subtle and pervasive characteristics. Lyon (2018) explains that digital surveillance on social media platforms operates through "surveillance capitalism," which transforms personal data into economic value, creating new forms of power relations and social inequality mediated by technical systems.

Social Impacts in the Framework of Digital Social Change Theory

The transformation of collective behavior facilitated by social media platforms can be understood through the theory of "network society" developed by Castells (2010), in which informational capitalism creates new forms of social organization based on flows of information and network logic. Social media platforms facilitate the emergence of "spaces of flows" where social practices occur in real time without geographical contiguity, creating new possibilities for collective action and social mobilization. However, network society also creates new forms of exclusion and inequality for those who lack access or capabilities to participate in information networks. Castells also identified "timeless time" as a characteristic of network society, where different temporal logics coexist and can influence the rhythms of social life and collective action.

The theory of "liquid modernity," developed by Bauman (2000), provides a framework for understanding how social media platforms facilitate fluidity and uncertainty in social relationships and identity formation. Quantified social metrics and algorithmic mediation create a "liquid sociality," where social connections are temporary, flexible, and constantly changing. Phenomena such as influencer culture and performative authenticity reflect a "liquid identity," where individuals constantly reconstruct themselves to adapt to changing social contexts and expectations. Bauman also explains that liquid modernity creates constant "liquid fear" and anxiety due to the uncertainty and unpredictability of social relationships and social structures.

The concept of "digital habitus," developed by Bourdieu's followers, such as Ignatow & Robinson (2017), provides a framework for understanding how social media platforms influence the formation of durable dispositions, tastes, and practices in digital contexts. Digital habitus is formed through repeated interactions with platform architecture and algorithmic systems,

creating embodied knowledge and automatic responses that influence how individuals navigate digital spaces. Digital divides and algorithmic inequality can be understood as manifestations of unequally distributed "digital capital" in society, creating new forms of social stratification based on access to and mastery of digital technologies. Ragnedda (2017) developed the concept of "digital capital" as a new form of capital that can influence social mobility and life chances in a digital society.

Conclusion

This research reveals that social engineering on social media platforms is a complex phenomenon involving a dynamic interaction between the platform's technical design and social dynamics, resulting in the formation of massive online collective behavior.

Through an interdisciplinary analysis combining a digital sociology perspective with an engineering approach, this research identifies three key dimensions of digital social engineering: algorithmic mechanisms that operate as social agents in shaping collective behavior; platform architecture that creates behavioral architecture through specific design affordances; and social impacts that transform the nature of collective behavior, social stratification, and democratic participation in digital society.

The research findings demonstrate that social media platforms not only provide a neutral communication infrastructure but also actively shape social realities through embedded values, assumptions, and interests embedded in technical design and algorithmic processes.

The theoretical implications of this research demonstrate the importance of an interdisciplinary approach in understanding the complexity of socio-technical systems in the digital era, where the boundaries between technical and social dimensions are increasingly blurred.

This research also highlights the urgency of developing ethical frameworks and regulatory approaches that can anticipate and mitigate the negative impacts of digital social engineering, while facilitating the positive potential of digital technology for collective action and social innovation. For future research, a deeper exploration of the specific mechanisms in different types of platforms, a comparative analysis of cultural differences in responses to digital social engineering, and the development of methodological approaches that can analyze the dynamic interactions between technical design and social behaviors in real-time contexts are needed.

References

Alter, A. (2017). Irresistible: The rise of addictive technology and the business of keeping us hooked. Penguin Press.

Aspers, P., & Corte, U. (2019). What is qualitative in qualitative research. Qualitative Sociology, 42(2), 139-160.

Barabási, A. L. (2016). Network science. Cambridge University Press.

Bauman, Z. (2000). Liquid modernity. Polity Press.

Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2012). The logic of connective action: Digital media and the personalization of contentious politics. Information, Communication & Society, 15(5), 739-768.

Bijker, W. E. (1995). Of bicycles, bakelites, and bulbs: Toward a theory of sociotechnical change. MIT Press.

Bijker, W. E., & Pinch, T. J. (1987). The social construction of facts and artifacts: Or how the sociology of science and the sociology of technology might benefit each other. In W. E. Bijker, T. P. Hughes, & T. J. Pinch (Eds.), The social construction of technological systems (pp. 17-50). MIT Press.

Boyd, D. (2014). It's complicated: The social lives of networked teens. Yale University Press.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.

Bucher, T., & Helmond, A. (2018). The affordances of social media platforms. In J. Burgess, A. Marwick, & T. Poell (Eds.), The SAGE handbook of social media (pp. 233-253). SAGE Publications.

Cadwalladr, C., & Graham-Harrison, E. (2018, March 17). Revealed: 50 million Facebook profiles harvested for Cambridge Analytica in major data breach. The Guardian.

Castells, M. (2010). The rise of the network society. Wiley-Blackwell.

Castells, M. (2015). Networks of outrage and hope: Social movements in the Internet age. Polity Press.

Charmaz, K. (2014). Constructing grounded theory. SAGE Publications.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches. SAGE Publications.

Earl, J., & Kimport, K. (2011). Digitally enabled social change: Activism in the internet age. MIT Press.

Foucault, M. (1977). Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison. Pantheon Books.

Gibson, J. J. (1979). The ecological approach to visual perception. Houghton Mifflin.

Gillespie, T. (2014). The relevance of algorithms. In T. Gillespie, P. J. Boczkowski, & K. A. Foot (Eds.), Media technologies: Essays on communication, materiality, and society (pp. 167-194). MIT Press.

Howard, P. N., & Hussain, M. M. (2013). Democracy's fourth wave?: Digital media and the Arab Spring. Oxford University Press.

Ignatow, G., & Robinson, L. (2017). Pierre Bourdieu: theorizing the digital. Information, Communication & Society, 20(7), 950-966.

Kitchenham, B., & Charters, S. (2007). Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering. Technical Report EBSE-2007-01, Keele University and Durham University.

Kriger, S. (2024). Algorithmic amplification and the role of social media in collective behavior formation. Digital Society Review, 12(3), 45-62.

Latour, B. (2005). Reassembling the social: An introduction to actor-network-theory. Oxford University Press.

Leonardi, P. M. (2011). When flexible routines meet flexible technologies: Affordance, constraint, and the imbrication of human and material agencies. MIS Quarterly, 35(1), 147-167.

Lupton, D. (2015). Digital sociology. Routledge.

Lyon, D. (2018). The culture of surveillance: Watching as a way of life. Polity Press.

McGonigal, J. (2011). Reality is broken: Why games make us better and how

they can change the world. Penguin Press.

Metzler, H., & Garcia, D. (2024). Social media algorithms amplify social drivers of collective behavior. Nature Communications, 15, 2845.

Milan, S. (2015). When algorithms shape collective action: Social media and the dynamics of cloud protesting. Social Media + Society, 1(2), 1-10.

Mumford, E. (2006). The story of socio-technical design: Reflections on its successes, failures and potential. Information Systems Journal, 16(4), 317-342.

Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. NYU Press.

Norman, D. A. (2013). The design of everyday things: Revised and expanded edition. Basic Books.

O'Neil, C. (2016). Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy. Crown Publishers.

Orlikowski, W. J. (2000). Using technology and constituting structures: A practice lens for studying technology in organizations. Organization Science, 11(4), 404-428.

Pariser, E. (2011). The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you. Penguin Press.

Ragnedda, M. (2017). The third digital divide: A Weberian approach to digital inequalities. Routledge.

Ritzer, G., & Jurgenson, N. (2010). Production, consumption, prosumption: The nature of capitalism in the age of the digital 'prosumer'. Journal of Consumer Culture, 10(1), 13-36.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. British Journal of Management, 14(3), 207-222.

Trist, E. L., & Bamforth, K. W. (1951). Some social and psychological consequences of the longwall method of coal-getting: An examination of the psychological situation and defences of a work group in relation to the social structure and technological content of the work system. Human Relations, 4(1), 3-38.

Tufekci, Z. (2017). Twitter and tear gas: The power and fragility of networked protest. Yale University Press.

Turkle, S. (2011). Alone together: Why we expect more from technology and less from each other. Basic Books.

Vaidhyanathan, S. (2018). Antisocial media: How Facebook disconnects us and undermines democracy. Oxford University Press.

Van Dijck, J. (2013). The culture of connectivity: A critical history of social media. Oxford University Press.

Verbeek, P. P. (2011). What things do: Philosophical reflections on technology, agency, and design. Penn State University Press.

Vosoughi, S., Roy, D., & Aral, S. (2018). The spread of true and false news online. Science, 359(6380), 1146-1151.

Watts, D. J. (2002). A simple model of global cascades on random networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 99(9), 5766-5771.

Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature

review. MIS Quarterly, 26(2), xiii-xxiii.

Winner, L. (1980). Do artifacts have politics? Daedalus, 109(1), 121-136.

Zuboff, S. (2019). The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power. PublicAffairs.